On the Miura and Bäcklund transformations associated with the supersymmetric Gelfand-Dickey bracket

Jiin-Chang Shaw[1] and Ming-Hsien Tu[2]
[1] *Department of Applied Mathematics, National Chiao Tung University, Hsinchu, Taiwan,*
[2] *Department of Physics, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu, Taiwan*
(February 9, 2008)

arXiv:solv-int/9805002v1 7 May 1998

Abstract

The supersymmetric version of the Miura and Bäcklund transformations associated with the supersymmetric Gelfand-Dickey bracket are investigated from the point of view of the Kupershmidt-Wilson theorem.

Soon after the work of Zamolochikov [1], the W-algebras have been paid much attention in two-dimensional conformal field theory and integrable systems [2]. Now it is well known that the classical version of the W_n-algebras arise naturally as the second Gelfand-Dickey (GD) bracket of the n-th generalized Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) hierarchy [3,4] defined by the Lax operator $L = \partial^n + u_{n-1}\partial^{n-1} + \cdots + u_0$. In such connection, the Kupershmidt-Wilson (KW) theorem [5,6] play an important role in such a way that under the factorization $L = (\partial - \phi_n)(\partial - \phi_{n-1})\cdots(\partial - \phi_1)$ the second GD bracket is transformed into a much simple one in terms of the Miura fields ϕ_i. Thus the factorization not only provides the Miura transformation which maps the n-th KdV hierarchy to the corresponding modified hierarchies but also gives a free-field realization of the associated classical W_n-algebras [7]. On the other hand, as observed by Adler in [8] that the cyclic permutation of the Miura fields ϕ_i in the factorized Lax operator generates the Bäcklund transformation of the n-th KdV hierarchy. Hence from the point of view of the KW theorem, one has a unified description of the Miura and Bäcklund transformations associated with the second GD structure for the n-th KdV hierarchy. In general, the above scheme is encoded in a particular form of the Lax operator and its associated Poisson structure. Several integrable systems have been studied based on this scheme. For example, the KW theorem and Adler's work have been generalized to the constrained KP hierarchy [9–14] which is defined by the pseudo-differential Lax operator of the form $L = \partial^n + u_{n-1}\partial^{n-1} + \cdots + u_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{m} q_i\partial^{-1}r_i$.

Recently, the supersymmetric second GD bracket has been constructed [15–17] for the generalized supersymmetric KdV(SKdV) hierarchy. In particular, the supersymmetric KW theorem which enables one to reduce the supersymmetric second GD bracket to a vastly simple form via a supersymmetric Miura transformation has been investigated [15,18]. However, in contrast to the bosonic counterpart,there are some issues associated with the supersymmetric GD bracket still unexplored. In this letter we consider two of them: the first is the factorization property of the supersymmetric GD bracket defined by the Lax operator which can be expressed as a ratio of two purely superdifferential operator $L = AB^{-1}$. The other is to generalize Alder's work to the supersymmetric GD bracket. Once these goals can be achieved, we will have a unified way to describe the Miura and Bäcklund transformations associated with the supersymmetric GD bracket, which, to the best of our knowledge, has not been reported in the literature.

To begin with, we consider the superdifferential Lax operator of the form

$$L = D^n + U_{n-1}D^{n-1} + \cdots + U_0 \tag{1}$$

where the supercovariant derivative $D \equiv \partial_\theta + \theta\partial$ satisfies $D^2 = \partial$, θ is the Grassmann variable ($\theta^2 = 0$) which together with the even variable $x \equiv t_1$, defines the $(1|1)$ superspace with coordinate (x, θ). The coefficient U_i are superfields that depend on the variables x, θ, t_i and can be represented by $U_i = u_i(t) + \theta v_i(t)$. Since L is assumed to be homogeneous under Z_2-grading and hence $|U_i| = n + i \pmod 2$. We will introduce the Poisson bracket associated withthe Lax operator on functionals of the form:

$$F(U) = \int_B f(U) \tag{2}$$

where $f(U)$ is a homogeneous differential polynomialof the U_i and $\int_B \equiv \int dxd\theta$ is the Berezin integral such thatif $f(U) = a(u, v) + \theta b(u, v)$ then $\int_B f(U) = \int b$. The supercovariant derivative D satisfies the supersymmetric version of the Leibniz rule [19]:

$$D^i U = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{|U|(i-k)} \begin{bmatrix} i \\ k \end{bmatrix} U^{[k]} D^{i-k} \tag{3}$$

where $U^{[k]} \equiv (D^k U)$ and the super-binomial coefficients $\begin{bmatrix} i \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ are defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} i \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} \binom{[i/2]}{[k/2]} & \text{for } 0 \le k \le i \text{ and } (i,k) \ne (0,1) \bmod 2 \\ (-1)^{[k/2]} \begin{bmatrix} -i+k-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} & \text{for } i < 0 \\ 0 \quad \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

For a given super pseudo-differential operator $P = \sum p_i D^i$ we can define its super-residue as $\mathrm{sres} P = p_{-1}$ and its supertrace as $\mathrm{Str} P = \int_B \mathrm{sres} P$.It can be shown that, for any two super pseudo-differential operators P and Q,$\mathrm{Str}[P,Q] = 0$ for $[P,Q] \equiv PQ - (-1)^{|P||Q|} QP$ and hence $\mathrm{Str} PQ = (-1)^{|P||Q|} \mathrm{Str} QP$.

Finally, given a functional $F(U) = \int_B f(U)$, we define its gradient $d_L F$ by

$$d_L F = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k D^{-k-1} \frac{\delta f}{\delta U_k} \tag{5}$$

where the variational derivative is defined by

$$\frac{\delta f}{\delta U_k} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{|U_k| i + i(i+1)/2} D^i \frac{\partial f}{\partial U_k^{[i]}} \tag{6}$$

The supersymmetric GD bracket defined by the Lax operator (1) is given by [15–17]

$$\{F,G\}(L) = (-1)^{|F|+|G|+|L|} \mathrm{Str}[L(d_L F L)_+ d_L G - (L d_L F)_+ L d_L G] \tag{7}$$

where $()_+$ denotes the differential part of a super pseudo-differential operator. It has been shown [15,17] that (7) indeed defines a Hamiltonian structure, namely it is antisymmetric and satisfies the super Jacobi identity. From (7) the fundamental Poisson brackets of the U_k can be read off by taking F and G are linear functionals. The bracket (7) has a very nice factorization property associated with the Lax operator (1). If $L = AB$ where both A and B are superdifferential operators, then it can be proved [18]that

$$\{F,G\}(L) = \{F,G\}(B) + (-1)^{|B|} \{F,G\}(A) \tag{8}$$

Note that there is a relative sign $(-1)^{|B|}$ between the two terms, which disappears in the bosonic counterpart. Now let us factorize $L = (D - \Phi_n)(D - \Phi_{n-1}) \cdots (D - \Phi_1)$, then such supersymmetric Miura transformation defines the U_i as polynomials in the Miura fields Φ_i. Since the supersymmetric GD bracket for the operator $D - \Phi_i$ can be easily calculated as $\{F,G\}(D - \Phi_i) = -\int_B (D \frac{\delta f}{\delta \Phi_i}) \frac{\delta g}{\delta \Phi_i}$. Hence under the factorization the second bracket becomes

$$\{F,G\}(L) = \int_B \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^i (D \frac{\delta f}{\delta \Phi_i}) \frac{\delta g}{\delta \Phi_i} \tag{9}$$

which implies that the fundamental brackets of the Miura fields Φ_i are given by [15,16]

$$\{\Phi_i(X), \Phi_j(Y)\} = (-1)^i \delta_{ij} D\delta(X-Y) \tag{10}$$

where $X = (x, \theta)$, $Y = (y, \omega)$ and $\delta(X-Y) \equiv \delta(x-y)(\theta-\omega)$. This result is what we called the supersymmetric KW theorem. Eq.(10) also enables us to write down the fundamental brackets of the U_k through the super Miura transformation. Notice that the alternating signs in (10) are crucial since it is only this choice which will lead to a closed Poisson algebra among the U_k.

In fact, it has been shown [20–22] that the supersymmetric second GD bracket (7) still defines a Hamiltonian structure even when the super Lax operator are homogeneous super pseudo-differential operators on the supermanifold of the form

$$\Lambda_n = D^n + V_{n-1}D^{n-1} + \cdots + V_0 + V_{-1}D^{-1} + \cdots \qquad n \in Z \tag{11}$$

In other words, the fundamental Poisson brackets of the V_i can be calculated from the supersymmetric GD bracket defined by Λ_n. However, motivated by the recent studies on super pseudo-differential operators with reductions and truncations [23–25] it would be interesting to consider the supersymmetric GD bracket defined by the Lax operator $L = AB^{-1}$ where both A and B are superdifferential operators; in fact, in view of (8), this situation is reduced to consider the case $L = B^{-1}$. More precisely, if $B = \sum B_i D^i$ be an invertible superdifferential Lax operator such that the Poisson brackets among the B_i be given by (7). Then taking $L = B^{-1} = \sum_j U_j D^j$ we can ask what the Poisson bracket associated with L is. To answer this question, suppose F is a functional of L, then the infinitesimal change of F gives

$$\begin{aligned} \delta F &= (-1)^{|F|+|L|+1}\mathrm{Str}(\delta L d_L F) \\ &= (-1)^{|F|+|B|+1}\mathrm{Str}(\delta B d_B F) \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

which implies

$$d_B F = (-1)^{|F||L|+|L|+1} L d_L F L \tag{13}$$

Substitution (13) into (7) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \{F, G\}(B) &= (-1)^{|F|+|G|+|B|}\mathrm{Str}[B(d_B F B)_+ d_B G - (B d_B F)_+ B d_B G] \\ &= (-1)^{|F|+|G|+1}\mathrm{Str}[L(d_L F L)_+ d_L G - (L d_L F)_+ L d_L G] \\ &= (-1)^{|L|+1}\{F, G\}(L) \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Now using (8) and (14), the supersymmetric GD bracket under the factorization $L = AB^{-1}$ can be easily derived as

$$\begin{aligned} \{F, G\}(L) &= \{F, G\}(B^{-1}) + (-1)^{|B|}\{F, G\}(A) \\ &= (-1)^{|B|}(\{F, G\}(A) - \{F, G\}(B)) \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Again, up to a phase factor, this result is similar to the bosonic case. Furthermore, if both A and B are factorized into the multiplication form (generalized Miura transformation) such that

$$L = (D - \Phi_n)\cdots(D - \Phi_1)(D - \Psi_1)^{-1}\cdots(D - \Psi_m)^{-1}, \tag{16}$$

then the only nonzero Poisson brackets among the generalized Miura fields Φ_i and Ψ_i are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{\Phi_i(X), \Phi_j(Y)\} = (-1)^{m+i}\delta_{ij} D\delta(X-Y) \\
&\{\Psi_i(X), \Psi_j(Y)\} = (-1)^{m+i+1}\delta_{ij} D\delta(X-Y) \\
&\{\Phi_i(X), \Psi_j(Y)\} = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

These brackets would be possible to provide the free-field realizations of some extended classical W-superalgebras.

So far, we only discuss the second Hamiltonian structure. To obtain the first structure, one can deform the second structure by shifting $L \to L + \lambda$ (or $U_0 \to U_0 + \lambda$) and extract the first structure from the term proportional to λ.

In the following, let us go back to the integrable hierarchy defined by the supersymmetric Lax operator of the form $L = D^{2l} + \sum_{i=0}^{2l-1} U_i D^i$, the so-called generalized l-th order super KdV(SKdV) hierarchy [26,27]. Since it is a reduction of the super pseudo-differential operator Λ_2 in such a way that $L = (\Lambda_2^l)_+$, and hence we also call this hierarchy the $\mathrm{SKP}_2^{(l)}$ hierarchy [26,27].

The evolution equations of the even order l-th SKdV hierarchy are given by

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial t_k} = [L_+^{k/l}, L] \qquad (k = 1, 2, \cdots) \tag{18}$$

Due to the Poisson structure (7), one can give a Hamiltonian flow description of the Lax equation (18) as

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial t_k} = \{H_k, L\} \tag{19}$$

where the Hamiltonians H_k are defined by

$$H_k = \frac{l}{k}\mathrm{Str} L^{k/l} \equiv \int_B h_k \tag{20}$$

which form a infinite number of conservation quantities of the hierarchy. If we factorize the even order super Lax operator as $L = (D - \Phi_{2l})(D - \Phi_{2l-1}) \cdots (D - \Phi_1)$ then, by KW theorem, the corresponding Miura fields Φ_i satisfy the modified hierarchy equations

$$\frac{\partial \Phi_i}{\partial t_k} = \{H_k, \Phi_i\} = (-1)^i (D\frac{\delta h_k}{\delta \Phi_i}) \tag{21}$$

In the following, we would like to show that the whole set of equation (21) is invariant under the cyclic permutation Ω: $\Phi_1 \mapsto \Phi_2$, $\cdots$, $\Phi_{2l} \mapsto \Phi_1$. To see this, let us denote by L_Ω and $M_\Omega^{(k)} \equiv (L_\Omega^{k/l})_+$ the operators obtained by the cyclic permutation Ω. Namely, $L_\Omega = (D - \Phi_1)(D - \Phi_{2l}) \cdots (D - \Phi_2)$. Thus we have L_{Ω^j} and $M_{\Omega^j}^{(k)}$ the operators obtained by the permutation Ω^j. It is obvious that $L_{\Omega^{2l}} = L$ and $M_{\Omega^{2l}}^{(k)} = M^{(k)}$. Using these permuted operators, we will show that the modified hierarchy equations (21) can be expressed as

$$\frac{\partial \Phi_i}{\partial t_k} = \nabla_i M_{\Omega^{i-1}}^{(k)} - M_{\Omega^i}^{(k)} \nabla_i \tag{22}$$

where $\nabla_i \equiv D - \Phi_i$. Evidently, eq.(22) is consistent with the Lax equation (18) since

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial L}{\partial t_k} &= -\sum_{i=1}^{2l} \nabla_{2l} \cdots \nabla_{i+1} \frac{\partial \Phi_i}{\partial t_k} \nabla_{i-1} \cdots \nabla_1 \\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^{2l} \nabla_{2l} \cdots \nabla_{i+1} (\nabla_i M^{(k)}_{\Omega^{i-1}} - M^{(k)}_{\Omega^i} \nabla_i) \nabla_{i-1} \cdots \nabla_1 \\ &= [M^{(k)}, L] \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

On the other hand, (22) makes sense only if the order of the right hand side is zero. This can be shown by observing the fact that the permuted operators L_{Ω^i} obey the recursive relation

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\Omega^i} &= \nabla_i L_{\Omega^{i-1}} \nabla_i^{-1} \\ &\cdots \\ &= \nabla_i \cdots \nabla_1 L \nabla_1^{-1} \cdots \nabla_i^{-1} \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Hence the operator $M^{(k)}_{\Omega^i}$ can be written by

$$\begin{aligned} M^{(k)}_{\Omega^i} &\equiv (L^{k/l}_{\Omega^i})_+ \\ &= (\nabla_i M^{(k)}_{\Omega^{i-1}} \nabla_i^{-1})_+ \\ &= \nabla_i M^{(k)}_{\Omega^{i-1}} \nabla_i^{-1} - (\nabla_i M^{(k)}_{\Omega^{i-1}} \nabla_i^{-1})_- \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_i M^{(k)}_{\Omega^{i-1}} - M^{(k)}_{\Omega^i} \nabla_i &= (\nabla_i M^{(k)}_{\Omega^{i-1}} \nabla_i^{-1})_- \nabla_i \\ &= (D \chi_i^{-1} M^{(k)}_{\Omega^{i-1}} \chi_i)_0 \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where we have rewritten $\nabla_i = \chi_i D \chi_i^{-1}$ with $\chi_i \equiv \exp(\int^x (D\Phi_i))$ and used the fact $(AD^{-1})_- = (A)_0 D^{-1} + A_- D^{-1}$.

Now we are ready to show that (22) is, in fact, equivalent to the modified hierarchy equation (21). From (26) and (24) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \Phi_i}{\partial t_k} &= ((\nabla_i M^{(k)}_{\Omega^{i-1}} \nabla_i^{-1})_- \nabla_i)_0 \\ &= \mathrm{sres}(\nabla_i M^{(k)}_{\Omega^{i-1}} \nabla_i^{-1}) \\ &= \mathrm{sres}(\nabla_i L^{k/l}_{\Omega^{i-1}} \nabla_i^{-1}) - \mathrm{sres}(\nabla_i (L^{k/l}_{\Omega^{i-1}})_- \nabla_i^{-1}) \\ &= \mathrm{sres}(\nabla_i \cdots \nabla_1 L^{k/l-1} \nabla_{2l} \cdots \nabla_{i+1}) + \mathrm{sres}(\nabla_{i-1} \cdots \nabla_1 L^{k/l-1} \nabla_{2l} \cdots \nabla_i) \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

On the other hand, from (20) and (21) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^i (D \frac{\delta h_k}{\delta \Phi_i}) &= [\nabla_i, \mathrm{sres}(\nabla_{i-1} \cdots \nabla_1 L^{k/l-1} \nabla_{2l} \cdots \nabla_{i+1})] \\ &= \mathrm{sres}[\nabla_i, \nabla_{i-1} \cdots \nabla_1 L^{k/l-1} \nabla_{2l} \cdots \nabla_{i+1}] \\ &= \text{r.h.s of (27)} \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Therefore there are two different expressions for the dynamics of the Miura fields Φ_i. However it is more clear from (22) rather (21) that the whole set of equation is invariant under the cyclic permutation Ω and hence generates the Bäcklund transformation of the hierarchy, the $2l$-th power of which is just an identical transformation. Thus, from the Lax formalism point of view, we can identify the one-step permutation $\Omega : L_{\Omega^{i-1}} \to L_{\Omega^i}$ as an elementary Bäcklund transformation due to the fact that the form of the Lax operator and the hierarchy equation are preserved under such transformation. Moreover, from (24) such one-step permutation can be viewed as a gauge transformation triggered by the gauge operator $T_i = \chi_i D \chi_i^{-1}$, i.e. , $L_{\Omega^i} = T_i L_{\Omega^{i-1}} T_i^{-1}$ and the even function χ_i satisfies

$$\frac{\partial \chi_i}{\partial t_k} = \chi_i \int^x (D \frac{\partial \Phi_i}{\partial t_k})_0 = (M^{(k)}_{\Omega^{i-1}} \chi_i)_0 \tag{29}$$

which for the t_l-flow implies $(L_{\Omega^{i-1}} \chi_i)_0 = 0$. Hence χ_i is a wavefunction associated with the Lax operator $L_{\Omega^{i-1}}$. Similarly, we can also consider the anti-cyclic permutation $\Omega^{-1} : L_{\Omega^i} \to L_{\Omega^{i-1}}$ where the Bäcklund transformation is triggered by the gauge operator $S_i = \chi_i D^{-1} \chi_i^{-1}$. It is not hard to show that χ_i^{-1} is a adjoint wavefunction associated with the Lax operator L_{Ω^i}, namely it satisfies $\partial \chi_i^{-1} / \partial t_k = -(M^{(k)^*}_{\Omega^i} \chi_i^{-1})_0$ and $(L^*_{\Omega^i} \chi_i^{-1})_0 = 0$. These Bäcklund transformations have been applied to several super integrable systems to obtain their soliton solutions [28–31].

Finally, we would like to remark the canonical property of these elementary Bäcklund transformations. Since the parity of the gauge operator T_i (or S_i) is odd thus each of the Hamiltonian defined by L_{Ω^i} in (20) is equal to the minus one defined by $L_{\Omega^{i-1}}$. This fact together with the Hamiltonian flow equation (19) concludes that the second bracket defined by L_{Ω^i} is also the minus one defined by $L_{\Omega^{i-1}}$. This is indeed the case because

$$\begin{aligned} \{F, G\}(L_{\Omega^i}) &= \{F, G\}(\nabla_i L_{\Omega^{i-1}} \nabla_i^{-1}) \\ &= -(\{F, G\}(\nabla_i L_{\Omega^{i-1}}) - \{F, G\}(\nabla_i)) \\ &= -\{F, G\}(L_{\Omega^{i-1}}) \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

In summary, we have derived the generalized Miura transformation associated with the supersymmetric GD bracket, which might be possible to provide the free-field realizations of some extended classical W-superalgebras. We have also investigated the Bäcklund transformations of the even order SKdV hierarchy from the KW theorem point of view. We found that the cyclic and anti-cyclic permutations of the Miura fields correspond to the elementary Bäcklund transformations of the hierarchy. The gauge operators and the canonical property of the elementary Bäcklund transformations were also revealed quite naturally via this formulation.

Acknowledgments We would like to thank Prof. W. J. Huang for helpful discussions. This work is supported by the National Science Council of Taiwan under grant No. NSC-87-2811-M-007-0025. One of us (M.H.T) also wishes to thank Center for Theoretical Sciences of National Science Council of Taiwan for partial support.

REFERENCES

[1] e-mail address: shaw@math.nctu.edu.tw
[2] e-mail address: mhtu@phys.nthu.edu.tw

[1] A. B. Zamolochikov, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **65**, 1205 (1985).
[2] P. Bouwknegt and K. Schoutens, *Phys. Rep.* **223**, 183 (1993). (Singapore: World Scientific 1995) and references therein.
[3] V. G. Drinfeld and V. V. Sokolov, *J. Sov. Math.* **30**, 1975 (1985).
[4] L. A. Dickey, *Soliton Equations and Hamiltonian Systems* (Singapore: World Scintific 1991).
[5] B. A. Kupershmidt and G. Wilson, *Invent. Math.* **62**, 403 (1981).
[6] L. A. Dickey, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **87**, 127 (1983).
[7] L. A. Dickey, *Acta. Appl. Math.* **47**, 243 (1997) and references therein.
[8] M. Adler, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **80**, 517 (1981).
[9] F. Yu, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **29**, 175 (1993).
[10] H. Aratyn, E. Nissimov and S. Pacheva, *Phys. Lett.* **B314**, 41 (1993) .
[11] L. A. Dickey, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **35**, 229 (1995).
[12] J. Mas and E. Ramos, *Phys. Lett.* **B351**, 194 (1995).
[13] Y. Cheng, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **171**, 661 (1995); *Lett. Math. Phys.* **33**, 159 (1995); *Lett. Math. Phys.* **36**, 35 (1996).
[14] H. Aratyn, L. A. Ferreira, J. F. Gomes and A. H. Zimerman, *J. Math. Phys.* **38**, 1559 (1997).
[15] J. M. Figueroa-O'Farrill and E. Ramos, *Phys. Lett.* **B262**, 265 (1991).
[16] J. M. Figueroa-O'Farrill and E. Ramos, *Nucl. Phys.* **B368**, 361 (1992).
[17] J. M. Figueroa-O'Farrill and E. Ramos, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **145**, 43 (1992).
[18] J. M. Figueroa-O'Farrill and S. Stanciu, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A36**, 2767 (1995).
[19] Y. I. Manin and A. O. Radul, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **98**, 65 (1985).
[20] F. Yu, *J. Math. Phys.* **33**, 3180 (1992).
[21] A. Das and W. J. Huang, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A7**, 2159 (1992).
[22] C. M. Yung, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A8**, 129 (1992).
[23] J. C. Brunelli and A. Das, *Phys. Lett.* **B337**, 303 (1994); *Phys. Lett.* **B354**, 307 (1995); *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A10**, 4563 (1995).
[24] H. Aratyn and C. Rasinariu,*Phys. Lett.* **B391**, 99 (1997).
[25] H. Aratyn and A. Das, solv-int/9710026.
[26] W. Oevel and Z. Popowicz, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **139**, 441 (1991).
[27] J. M. Figueroa-O'Farrill, J. Mas and E. Ramos, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **3**, 479 (1991).
[28] Q. P. Liu, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **35**, 115 (1995).
[29] Q. P. Liu and M. Mañas, *Phys. Lett.* **B394**, 337 (1997); *Phys. Lett.* **396** 133 (1997); solv-int/9711002.
[30] J. C. Shaw and M. H. Tu, solv-int/9710005; solv-int/9712009.
[31] H. Aratyn, E. Nissimov and S. Pacheva, solv-int/9801021.